

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,707 | 03/27/2006 | Mitsunobu Yoshida | 1003510-000165 | 3545 |
| 21007 | 7590 07/06/2010 INGERSOLL & ROONEY | PC | EXAMINER | |
| BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | 10 | HARRIS, GARY D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1785 | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/06/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/57 |
| Review | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|---|---|--|
| 10/573,707 | YOSHIDA ET AL. | |
| | Art Unit | |
| GARY HARRIS | 1785 | |
| , | | |

Part of Paper No. 20100701

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Red | quest for Review filed 1 March 2010. |
|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Request is reason(s): | s improper and a conference will not be held for the following |
| The request does not include reas | n filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. ed with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. |
| | nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains under appear is required to submit an appeal brief in according will be reset to be one month from manual from the receipt of the notice of approximately. | als and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been al because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period opeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the .136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| The panel has determined the stack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conferer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on applicant at this time. | nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by |
| 4. ☑ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is | ce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | |
| (1) MARK RUTHKOSKY. | (3) <u>GARY HARRIS</u> . |
| /Mark Ruthkosky/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785 | (4) |